De Lettoom - from the

## CONSIDERATIONS

ON THE

## INJUSTICE AND IMPOLICY

0 1

PUNISHING MURDER BY DEATH.

EXTRACTED FROM THE

AMERICAN MUSEUM.

WITH ADDITIONS.

MAN AN ANA

BY BENJAMIN RUSH, M. D. K



PHILADELPHIA:

PROM THE PRESS OF MATHEW CARES.

MAY 4-M.DCC, XCII.

CONSIDERATIONS

the specific property and the specific property and

Marine Committee of the Committee of the

and the same and the same and the same

San San San St. Committee V

R

CO

H. anni mir. taka magana mingana milita

ad birta

IN an electric compact.

II. The reason, a

2. It p are tired is a lefs of of a nes

means of 3. The ders, fro

who are the seven

## CONSIDERATIONS, &c.

countries to heartquesters, it is a reinstructed of provide to countries to heart sometime with a fact that I shows it would be han entire to entire meating of our feeting of justice, and Description of the result of the second of the second of read or lane, still asserted to the still a be better to been

Peps in and collects fach

their deferration from mach a decreased barrelegis with a little to the to-first transferration of the plant to the plant IN an essay upon the effects of public punishments upon criminals and upon society, published in the second volume of the American Museum, I hinted, in a short paragraph, at the injustice of punishing murder by death. I shall attempt in the following ellay, to support that opinion, and to answer all the objections that have been urged

or grammers brought for the the property at bloom the

I. Every man possesses an absolute power over his own liberty and property, but not over his own life. When he becomes a member of political fociety, he commits the dif-pofal of his liberty and property to his fellow citizens; but as he has no right to dispose of his life, he cannot commit the power over it to any body of men. To take away life, therefore, for any crime, is a violation of the first political

II. The punishment of murder by death, is contrary to

reason, and to the order and happiness of society.

1. It lesiens the horror of taking away human life, and

thereby tends to multiply murders.

2. It produces murder, by its influence upon people who are tired of life, and who, from a supposition, that murder s a less crime than suicide, destroy a life (and often that of a near connexion) and jafterwards deliver themselves up to justice, that they may escape from their misery by means of a halter.

3. The punishment of murder by death, multiplies murders, from the difficulty it creates of convicting persons who are guilty of it. Humanity, revolting at the idea of the severity and certainty of a capital punishment, often

steps in, and collects such evidence in favour of a murderer, as screens him from justice altogether, or palliates his crime into manslaughter. If the punishment of murder consisted in long consinement, and hard labour, it would be proportioned to the measure of our feelings of justice, and every member of society would be a watchman or a magistrate, to apprehend a destroyer of human life, and to bring

him to punishment.

4. The punishment of murder by death, checks the opes rations of universal justice, by preventing the punishment of every species of murder. Quack doctors-frauds of various kinds-and a licentious press, often destroy life, and fometimes with malice of the most propense nature. If murder were punished by confinement and hard labour, the authors of the numerous murders that have been mentioned, would be dragged forth, and punished according to their deferts. How much order and happiness would arise to fociety from fuch a change in human affairs! But who will attempt to define these species of murder, or to prosecute offenders of this stamp, if death is to be the punishment of the crime after it is admitted, and proved to be wilful murder ?-only alter the punishment of murder, and these crimes will foon assume their proper names, and probably foon become as rare as murder from common acts of

5. The punishment of murder by death, has been proved to be contrary to the order and happiness of society by the experiments of some of the wisest legislators in Europe. The empress of Russia, the king of Sweden, and the duke of Tuscany, have nearly extirpated murder from their dominions, by converting its punishment into the means of benefiting society, and reforming the criminals who per-

netrate it

III. The punishment of murder by death, is contrary to divine revelation. A religion which commands us to forgive and even to do good to our enemies, can never authorise the punishment of murder by death. "Vengeance is mine," faid the Lord; "I will repay." It is to no purpose to say here, that this vengeance is taken out of the hands of an individual, and directed against the criminal by the hand of government. It is equally an usurpation of the prerogative of heaven, whether it be inflicted by a single person, or by a whole community.

Here I expect to meet with an appeal from the letter and spirit of the gospel, to the law of Moses, which declares, that "he that killeth a man shall furely be put to death."

forgive, i man, which has fo long with folly

The fol argument nihment of ral argumentional extions.

i. The contrary to is contrary the will o

agreeable der by de it must th 3. Many

the lews.
gave them
by they flow
vorces, all
eye for an
flances.

But we

is founded dive prece heddeth In order to proposition an essay of rend mr. ter memori shall not own sense it should a appears to what will

word ...

actly upor

lowing :

forgive, indulgent heaven! the ignorance and crnelty of man, which by the misapplication of this text of scripture, has fo long and to often stained the religion of Jesus Christ

with folly and revenge.

The following confiderations, I hope, will prove that no argument can be deduced from this law, to justify the puhishment of murder by death. On the contrary, that feveal arguments against it, may be derived from a just and ational explanation of that part of the levitical institu-

1. There are many things in scripture above, but not hing contrary to reason. Now, the punishment of murder by death, is contrary to reason. It cannot, therefore, be agreeable to

the will of God.

2. The order and happiness of society cannot fail of being preeable to the will of God, But the punishment of murder by death, destroys the order and happiness of society.

It must therefore be contrary to the will of God.

3. Many of the laws given by Moles, were accommodated to the ignorance, wickedness, and "hardness of heart" of the ignorance their divine legislator expressly says, "I the Jews. Hence their divine legislator expressly fays, give them statutes that were not good, and judgments where-by they should not live." Of this, the law which respects di-sorces, and the law of retaliation, which required "an ere for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth," are remarkable instances.

But we are told, that the punishment of murder by death, is founded not only on the law of Moles, but upon a posiave precept given to Noah and his posterity, that "whoso, heddeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed.", horder to show that this text does not militate against my. proposition, I shall beg leave to transcribe a passage from a effay on crimes and punishments, published by the reverend mr. Turner, in the fecond volume of the Manchef-ter memoirs. "I hope," fays this ingenious author, "that shall not offend any one, by taking the liberty to put my own sense upon this celebrated passage, and to enquire, why it should be deemed a precept at all. To me, I confess, it appears to contain nothing more than a declaration of what will generally happen; and in this view, to stand exactly upon the fame ground with fuch passages as the fol-lowing: "He that leadeth into captivity shall go into cap-tivity." "He that taketh up the sword, shall perish by the word ." The form of expression is exactly the same in

---

1

Rev. xv, 10.

preted in the fame manner, and confidered, not as commands, but as denunciations? and if so, the magistrate will be no more bound by the text in Genesis, to punish murder with death, than he will by the text in the Revelations, to sell every Guinea captain to our West India planters; and yet, however just and proper such a proceeding might be, I suppose no one will affert that the magistrate is bound to it by that, or any other text in the scriptures, or that that alone would be admitted as a sufficient reason for so

extraordinary a meafure."

If this explanation of the precept given to Noah, be not fatisfactory, I shall mention another. Soon after the flood. the infancy and weakness of society rendered it impossible to punish murder by confinement. There was therefore no medium between inflicting death upon a murderer, and fuffering him to escape with impunity, and thereby to perpetrate more acts of violence against his fellow creatures. It pleased God in this condition of the world to permit a less in order to prevent a greater evil. He therefore commits for a while his exclusive power over human life, to his creatures for the fafety and prefervation of an infant fociety, which might otherwise have perished, and with it, the only stock of the human race. The command indirectly implies that the crime of murder was not punished by death in the mature state of society which existed before the flood. Nor is this the only instance upon record in the scriptures in which God has delegated his power over human life to his creatures. Abraham expresses no surprise at the command which God gave him to facrifice his fon. He submits to it as a precept founded in reason and natural justice, for nothing could be more obvious than that the giver of life had a right to claim it when and in fuch manner as he pleased, 'Till men are able to give life, it becomes them to tremble at the thought of taking it away. Will a man rob God ?-Yes he robs him of what is infinitely dear to him-of his darling attribute of mercy, every time he deprives a fellow creature of life.

4. If the Mosaic law with respect to murder, be obligatory upon christians, it follows that it is equally obligatory upon them to punish adultery, blasphemy, and other capital crimes that are mentioned in the levitical law, by death. Nor is this all: it justifies the extirpation of the Indians, and the enslaving of the Africans; for the command to the Jews to destroy the Canaanites, and to make slaves of their heathen neighbours, is as positive as the command which

declare to deat

Messiah be inter sin, as t

probabl mildnes that Go created ty of lig moral w outly the and imme who fay was a sh

How tween to fociety, unity in countrie It has

and par

der by

The o merce a not take that the tablish t for reve and dan moreove a people are aver other wa punish n cases wh tribe, th this prac their nu of only

of only stroys the terminal equality tive child declares, "that he that killeth a man, shall furely be put to death."

5. Every part of the levitical law, is full of types of the Messiah. May not the punishment of death, inslicted by it, be intended to represent the demerit and consequences of sin, as the cities of refuge were the offices of the Messiah?

6. The imperfection and severity of these laws were probably intended farther—to illustrate the perfection and mildness of the gospel dispensation. It is in this manner that God has manifested himself in many of his acts. He created darkness first, to illustrate by comparison the beauty of light; and he permits sin, misery, and death in the moral world, that he may hereafter display more illustriously the transcendent glories of righteousness, happiness, and immortal life. This opinion is favoured by St. Paul, who says, "the law made nothing perfect," and that "it was a shadow of good things to come."

How delightful to discover such an exact harmony between the dictates of reason, the order and happiness of society, and the precepts of the gospel! There is a perfect unity in truth. Upon all subjects—in all ages—and in all countries—truths of every kind agree with each other.

It has been faid, that the common fense of all nations, and particularly of savages, is in favour of punishing mur-

der by death.

,

d

ò

t

o: tr

s

t

n s d s ga.

tss

The common sense of all nations is in favour of the commerce and flavery of their fellow creatures. But this does not take away from their immorality. Could it be proved that the Indians punish murder by death, it would not eftablish the right of man over the life of a fellow creature, for revenge we know in its utmost extent is the universal and darling passion of all savage nations. The practice moreover, (if it exist) must have originated in necessity; for a people who have no fettled place of residence, and who are averse from all labour, could restrain murder in no other way. But I am disposed to doubt whether the Indians punish murder by death among their own tribes. In all those cases where a life is taken away by an Indian of a foreign tribe, they always demand the fatisfaction of life for life. But this practice is founded on a defire of preferving a balance in their numbers and power; for among nations which confift of only a few warriors, the loss of an individual often destroys this balance, and thereby exposes them to war or extermination. It is for the same purpose of keeping up an equality in numbers and power, that they often adopt captive children into their nations and families. What makes

this explanation of the practice of punishing murder by death among the Indians more probable, is, that we find the fame bloody and vindictive satisfaction is required of a foreign nation, whether the person lost, be killed by an accident, or by premeditated violence. Many facts might be mentioned from travellers to prove that the Indians do not punish murder by death within the jurisdiction of their own tribes. I shall mention only one which is taken from the rev. mr. John Megapolensis's account of the Mohawk Indians, lately published in mr. Hazard's historical collection of state papers.—" There is no punishment, (says our author) here for murder, but every one is his own avenger. The friends of the deceased revenge themselves upon the murderer until peace is made with the next akin. But although they are so cruel, yet there are not half so many murders committed among them as among christians, not with standing their severe laws, and heavy penalties."

norwithstanding their severe laws, and heavy penalties."
It has been faid, that the horrors of a guilty conscience proclaim the justice and necessity of death, as a punishment for murder. I draw an argument of another nature from this fact. Are the horrors of conscience the punishment that God inflicts upon murder? why, then, should we shorten or destroy them by death, especially as we are taught to direct the most atrocious murderers to expect pardon in the future world? no, let us not counteract the government of God in the human breaft: let the murderer live-but let it be to suffer the reproaches of a guilty conscience: let him live, to make compensation to society for the injury he has done it, by robbing it of a citizen: let him live to maintain the family of the man whom he has murdered: let him live, that the punishment of his crime may become univerfal: and laftly let him live—that murder may be extirpated from the lift of human crimes!

Let us examine the conduct of the moral Ruler of the world towards the first murderer: see Cain returning from his field, with his hands reeking with the blood of his brother! Do the heavens gather blackness, and does a flash of lightning blast him to the earth? no. Does his father Adam, the natural legislator and judge of the world, instict upon him the punishment of death?—No; the infinitely wise God becomes his judge and executioner. He expels him from the society of which he was a member. He sixes in his conscience a never dying worm. He subjects him to the necessity of labour; and to secure a duration of his punishment, proportioned to his crime, he puts a mark or prohibition upon him, to prevent his being put to death,

by weak
whole
feven-fe
Judge
fice it is
and lifte

convict But 1 present ford and effay w containe feend to tions of to juffic dian of will oft dolence groffest from yo the difc actuate will fee turned blished deftiny the dig every a the foul love th have a

by con

wicked

formati

by weak and angry men; declaring, at the fame time, that "whofoever flayeth Cain, vengeance shall be taken on him seven-fold."

by

of

by ht

of

en o-

al

ıt,

'n

es n. fo

s,

ce

nt

m nt re

r-

0-

er

i-

ıe

m

r-

ıy

Y

of

es ie ; r.

Judges, attornies, witnesses, juries and sheriffs, whose offee it is to punish murder by death, I befeech you to pause, and liften to the voice of reason and religion, before you convict or execute another fellow-creature for murder! But I despair of making such an impression upon the present citizens of the united states, as shall abolish the abford and unchristian practice. From the connexion of this estay with the valuable documents of the late revolution contained in the American Museum, it will probably dekend to posterity. To you, therefore, the unborn genera-tions of the next century, I consecrate this humble tribute to justice. You will enjoy in point of knowledge, the meridian of a day, of which we only perceive the twilight. You will often review with equal contempt and horror, the indolence, ignorance and cruelty of your ancestors. The groffest crimes shall not exclude the perpetrators of them from your pity. You will fully comprehend the extent of the discoveries and precepts of the gospel, and you will be actuated, I hope, by its gentle and forgiving spirit. You will fee many modern opinions in religion and government turned upfide downwards, and many new connexions eftablished between cause and effect. From the importance and deftiny of every human foul, you will acquire new ideas of the dignity of human nature, and of the infinite value of every act of benevolence that has for its object, the bodies, the fouls, and the lives of your fellow-creatures. You will love the whole human race, for you will perceive that you have a common Father, and you will learn to imitate him by converting those punishments to which their folly or wickedness have exposed them, into the means of their reformation and happiness.

SOO N after the above enquiry was published in the American Museum, a reply to it made its appearance in the Pennsylvania Mercury, under the signature of Philochoras; which produced the following answer. The principal arguments in savour of punishing murder by death, contained in the reply, are mentioned in the answer, for which reason it was not thought necessary to re-publish the whole of the reply in the order in which it appeared in the news paper.

## FROM THE AMERICAN MUSEUM.

MR. CAREY,

I HAVE read a reply subscribed Philochoras, to an enquiry into the justice and policy of punishing murder by death, published some time ago in the Museum. The author of it has attempted to justify public and capital punishments, as well as war, by the precepts of the gospel.—Let not my readers suppose that this author is a sceptic—or a heathen—or that he is in any degree unfriendly to christianity. Far from it—he is a minister of the gospel—and a man

of a worthy private as well as public character.

Our author begins his reply by afferting, that the objection to the punishment of death for murder, proceeded originally from the focinian objection to the great doctrine of the atonement. Here I must acknowledge my obligations to our author for having furnished me with a new argument in favour of my principles. I believe in the doctrine of the atonement, not only because it is clearly revealed in the old and new testaments, but because it is agreeable to nature, and reason. Life is the product of death, throughout every part of the animal creation. Reason likewise eftablishes the necessity of the atonement, for it has lately taught us in the writings of the marquis of Beccaria, that in a perfect human government there should be no pardoning power: and experience has taught us that where certainty has taken the place of severity of punishment, crimes have evidently and rapidly diminished in every country. The demands of the divine law which made the fledding of blood necessary to the remission of fin, is a sublime illustration of the perfection of the divine government, and of the love of the Supreme Being to his intelligent creatures. But in the demand of life for disobedience, let the divine law stand alone. Men stand in a very different relation to each other, from

that wh ble, and to each cept of d to forgive fantly r themselve mine, by the angry with the viduels ar of the rig make the punish m full expia from der giveness o mitted ag commun ness which

death, fro Our autl them. I a of murde luropean profession the meek mon this mthoritie to the con laws for 1 empress o easure ! do fo mu but to the " Proo te of cap people. T either u fute those wace and

the united

ed against

apports;

The ar

that which God fustains to men. They are all fallible, and deficient in a thousand duties which they owe to each other. They are bound, therefore, by the precept of doing to others, as they would have them do them, to forgive, without a fatisfaction, inafmuch as they confantly require the same forgiveness to be exercised towards themselves. To punish murder, therefore, or any other mime, by death, under the gospel dispensation, is to exalt the angry and vindictive passions of men to an equality with the perfect law of God. It is to place imperfect indisiduals and corrupted human governments, upon the throne of the righteous judge of the universe: nay, more—it is to make the death of Christ of no effect; for every time we punish murder by death, we practically deny that it was a full expiation for every fin, and thereby exclude ourselves from deriving any benefit from it, for he has made the foriveness of injuries, without any exceptions, whether committed against us in our private capacities, or as members of acommunity, the express condition of our title to the forgiveness which he has purchased for us by his death.

The arguments against the punishment of murder by death, from reason, remain on an immoveable foundation. Our author has contradicted—but has not resulted one of them. I affirmed in my former essay, that the punishment of murder by death had been abolished in several of the suropean nations. I wish for the honour of our author's profession, he had doubted of this affertion with more of the meek and gentle spirit of a christian. To satisfy him upon this subject, I shall subjoin the following extracts from uthorities which are now before me.—In the instructions to the commissioners appointed to frame a new code of laws for the Russian empire, by Catharine II. the present empress of Russia, I find the following passage. I take great pleasure in transcribing it, as the sentiments it contains to so much honour not only to the female understanding,

but to the human mind.

tore he

in

n-

by

01

ts,

2-

i-

an

ec-

riof

to

in

he

he

12-

h.

ef-

ely

ia,

be

nat

pu-

ned

ich

ion

the

me

ind

ne.

om

"Proofs from facts demonstrate to us, that the frequent be of capital punishments, never mended the morals of a people. Therefore, if I prove the death of a citizen to be wither useful nor necessary to society in general, I shall consiste those who rise up against humanity. In a reign of wace and tranquillity, under a government established with the united wishes of a whole people, in a state well fortisted against external enemies, and protected within by strong supports; that is, by its own internal strength, and virtuums sentiments, rooted in the minds of the citizens, there

ean be no necessity for taking away the life of a citizen, It is not the excess of severity, nor the destruction of the human species, that produces a powerful effect upon the hearts of the citizens, but the continued duration of the punishment. The death of a malefactor is not fo efficacions a method of deterring from wickedness, as the example continually remaining, of a man who is deprived of his liberty, that he might repair, during a life of labour, the injury he has done to the community. The terror of death excited by the imagination may be more firing, but has not force enough to refift that oblivion which is fo natural to mankind. It is a general rule, that rapid and violent impressions upon the haman mind, difturband give pain, but do not operate long up. on the memory. That a punishment, therefore, might be conformable with justice, it ought to have such a degree of feverity as might be sufficient to deter people from commit-ting the crime. Hence I presume to affirm, that there is no man who, upon the least degree of reflexion, would put the greatest possible advantages, he might flatter himself from a crime, on the one fide, into the balance against a life protracted under a total privation of liberty, on the other."

In a British review for the present year, I find a short account of the code of penal laws lately enacted by the emperor of Germany. This enlightened monarch has divided imprisonment into mild—severe—and rigorous. For the crime of murder, he insticts the punishment of rigorous imprisonment—which from its duration, and other terrifying circumstances that attend it, is calculated to produce more beneficial effects in preventing murders, than all the executions that have ever taken place in any age or country.

I derived my information of the abolition of capital punishment in Sweden and Tuscany, from two foreigners of distinction, who lately visited the united states. The one was an Italian nobleman, the other was a captain in the Swedish navy—both of whom commanded every where respect and attachment for their abilities and virtues.

It is true; this happy revolution in favour of justice and humanity, in the instances that have been mentioned, did not originate in a convocation or a synod. It may either be ascribed to the light of the gospel shining in "darkness, which comprehended it not"—or to the influence of sound and cultivated reason—for reason and religion have the same objects. They are in no one instance opposed to each other. On the contrary, reason is nothing but imperfect religion, and religion is nothing but perfect reason.

It becomes christians to beware how far they condemn

the peed by the taughtion. ly of with happinexio the or found eafy to prince

an ap mina

not b
fenfe
its wi

but hing to cumi revol power tion, man

tion of d only the were

text

thin

« re

the popular virtue of humanity, because it is recommend, ed by deists, or by persons who do not profess to be bound by the strict obligations of christianity.—Voltaire first taught the princes of Europe the duty of religious toleration. The duke of Sully has demonstrated the extreme folly of war, and has proved that when it has been conducted with the most glory, it never added an atom to national happiness. The marquis of Beccaria has established a connexion between the abolition of capital punishments, and the order and happiness of society. Should any thing be found in the scriptures, contrary to these discoveries, it is easy to foresee that the principles of the deists and the laws of modern legislators will soon have a just preference to the principles and precepts of the gospel.

Our author attempts to support his fanguinary tenets by an appeal to revelation. And here I shall make two preli-

minary remarks.

an

of

nt.

of

re-

he

has

the

igh

5 2

hu-

up-

on-

fe-

nit-

put

mo"

pro-

ac-

ded

ime

pri-

ing

ecu-

pu-

of

one

the

10-

and

did

r be

ness,

the each

t re-

emn

1. There is no opinion fo abfurd or impious, that may not be supported by folitary texts of scripture. To collect the fense of the bible upon any subject, we must be governed by its whole spirit and tenor.

2. The defign of christianity at its first promulgation was to reform the world by its spirit rather than by its posi-

tive precepts.

Our Saviour does not forbid flavery in direct terms—but he indirectly bears a testimony against it, by commanding us to do to others what we would have them in like circumstances to do to us. He did not aim to produce a sudden revolution in the affairs of men. He knew too well the power and esseato of his religion for that purpose. It was annecessary, therefore, to subject it to additional opposition, by a direct attack upon the prejudices and interests of mankind, both of which were closely interwoven with the texture of their civil governments.

After these remarks, I shall only add, that the declaration of St. Paul before Festus, respecting the punishment of death\* and the speech of the dying thief on the cross; only prove that the punishment of death was agreeable to the Roman law, but they by no means prove that they were sanctioned by the gospel.—Human life was extremely

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;For if I be an offender, and have committed any thing worthy of death, I refuse not to die." Acts 25 and 11.

† "We indeed" suffer "justly, for we receive the due "reward of our deeds." Luke 23 and 41.

cheap under the Roman government. Of this we need no further proof than the head of John the baptist forming a part of a royal entertainment. From the frequency of public executions, among those people, the fword was considered as an emblem of public justice—but to suppose from this appeal to a sign of justice, or from our Saviour's parable of the destruction of the husbandmen, that capital punishments are approved of in the new testament, is as absurd as it would be to suppose that horseracing was a christian exercise, from St. Paul's frequent allusions to the Olympic games.

The declaration of the barbarians upon feeing the fnake fasten upon St. Paul's hand proves nothing but the ignorance of those uncivilized people. I deny the consent of all nations to the punishment of death for murder—but if it were true—it only proves the universality of the ignorance and depravity of man. Revenge, dissimulation, and even thest, prevail among all the nations in the world,—and yet who will dare to assert, that these vices are just, or

necessary to the order or happiness of society.

Our author does not distinguish between the sense of justice so universal among all nations, and an approbation of death as a punishment for murder. The former is written by the singer of God upon every human heart, but like his own attribute of justice, it has the happiness of individuals and of society for its objects. It is always missed, when it seeks for satisfaction in punishments that are injurious to society, or that are disproportioned to crimes. The satisfaction of this universal sense of justice by the punishments of imprisonment and labour, would far exceed that which is derived from the punishment of death; for it would be of longer duration, and it would more frequently occur, for, upon a principle laid down in the first essay upon this subject, scarcely any species of murder would escape with impunity.

The weigh favour man ca flicting Even his fig the ear miracle who to gave th rection of for murde for our of Go concile the h overfe if ever fubject of vine down : ritans, destroy compo face of ed to I tate ali of whi men. words, give y any cr mission deny l gospel gumer

> ferve Son of

> them.'

of the

fcriptu

<sup>\*</sup> A scale of punishments by means of imprisonment and labour might easily be contrived, so as to be accommodated to the different degrees of atrocity in murder. For example—for the first or highest degree of guilt, let the punishment be solitude and darkness, and a total want of employment. For the second, solitude and labour, with the benefit of light. For the third, confinement and labour. The duration of these punishments should likewise be governed by the atrocity of the murder, and by the signs of contrition and amendment in the criminal.

The conduct and discourses of our Saviour should outweigh every argument that has been or can be offered in favour of capital punishment for any crime. When the woman caught in adultery was brought to him, he evaded inflicting the bloody sentence of the Jewish law upon her. Even the maiming of the body appears to be offensive in his fight, for when Peter drew his fword and fmote off the ear of the servant of the high priest, he replaced it by miracle, and at the fame time declared, that "all they who take the fword, shall perish with the sword." He forgave the crime of murder, on his crofs; and after his refurrection, he commanded his disciples to preach the gospel of forgiveness first at Jerusalem, where he well knew his murderers still resided. These striking facts are recorded for our imitation, and feem intended to show that the Son of God died, not only to reconcile God to man, but to reconcile men to each other. There is one passage more, in the hiftory of our Saviour's life, which would of itself overset the justice of the punishment of death for murder, if every other part of the bible had been filent upon the subject. When two of his disciples, actuated by the spirit of vindictive legislators, requested permission of him to call down fire from heaven to confume the inhospitable Samaritans, he answered them "the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to fave them." I wish these words composed the motto of the arms of every nation upon the face of the earth. They inculcate every duty that is calculated to preserve—restore—or prolong human life. They militate alike against war-and capital punishments-the objects of which are the unprofitable destruction of the lives of men. How precious does a human life appear from these words, in the fight of heaven! Pause, legislators, when you give your votes for inflicting the punishment of death for any crime. You frustrate, in one instance, the design of the mission of the Son of God into the world, and thereby either deny his appearance in the flesh, or reject the truth of his gospel. You moreover strengthen by your conduct the arguments of the deifts and focinians against the particular doctrines of the christian revelation. You do more-you preserve a bloody fragment of the Jewish institution. "The Son of man came not to destroy men's lives, but to save them." Excellent words! I require no others to fatisfy me of the truth and divine original of the christian religion, and while I am able to place a finger upon this text of scripture, I will not believe an angel from heaven, should

he declare that the punishment of death for any crime was inculcated, or permitted by the spirit of the gospel.

Ŀ

fe

c

li

ti

fi

t

I

t

It has been faid, that a man who has committed a murder, has discovered a malignity of heart, that renders him ever afterwards unfit to live in human society. This is by no means true in many, and perhaps in most of the cases of murder. It is most frequently the effect of a sudden gust of passion, and has sometimes been the only stain of a well spent or inosfensive life. There are many crimes which unfit a man much more for human society, than a single murder, and there have been instances of murderers who have escaped or bribed the laws of their country, who have afterwards become peaceable, and useful members of society. Let it not be supposed that I wish to palliate by this remark, the enormity of murder. Far from it. It is only because I view murder with such superlative horror, that I wish to deprive our laws of the power of

perpetrating and encouraging it.

B

Our author has furnished us with a number of tales to show that the providence of God is concerned in a peculiar manner in detecting murder, and that the confessions of murderers have in many instances fanctified the justice of their punishment. I do not wish to lessen the influence of fuch vulgar errors as tend to prevent crimes, but I will venture to declare, that many more murderers escape discovery, than are detected, or punished. Were I not afraid of trespassing upon the patience of my readers, I might mention a number of facts, in which circumstances of the most trifling nature have become the means of detecting theft and forgery, from which I could draw as strong proofs of the watchfulness of providence over the property of individuals, and the order of fociety, as our author has drawn from the detection of murder. I might mention instances, likewise, of persons in whom conscience has pro-duced restitution for stolen goods, or confession of the justice of the punishment which was inflicted for theft. Conscience and knowledge always keep pace with each other, both with respect to divine and human laws. A party of foldiers in the duke of Alva's army, murdered a man and his wife with fix children. They roafted the youngest child, and dined upon it. One of them after dinner clapped his hands together, and with great agitation of mind cried out "good God-what have I done?"-What? faid one of his companions-"why" faid the other "I have eaten flesh in lent time." Here conscience kept pace with his degrees of knowledge. The fame thing occurs upon different occasions

every day. The acquiescence of murderers in the justice of their execution, is the effect of prejudice and education. It cannot flow from a conscience acting in concert with reason or religion—for they both speak a very different

language.

m

y

ft

le

ю

10

n-

t.

ed

of

to

å-

ns

ce

f-

id

ht ac ,

ty

as

n-

0-

f-

n-

r,

of

ıd

d,

ut is in of as

The world has certainly undergone a material change for the better within the last two hundred years. This change has been produced chiefly, by the fecret and unacknowledged influence of christianity upon the hearts of men. It is agreeable to trace the effects of the christian religion in the extirpation of flavery-in the diminution of the number of capital punishments, and in the mitigation of the horrors of war. There was a time when mafters pofseffed a power over the lives of their flaves. But christianity has deposed this power, and mankind begin to see every where that flavery is alike contrary to the interests of fociety, and the spirit of the gospel. There was a time when torture was part of the punishment of death, and when the number of capital crimes amounted to one hundred and fixty-one. Christianity has abolished the former, and reduced the latter to not more than fix or feven. It has done more. It has confined in fome instances capital punishments to the crime of murder only-and in some countries it has abolished it altogether. The influence of christianity upon the modes of war has been still more remarkable. It is agreea. ble to trace its progress.

rst. In rescuing women and children from being the objects of the desolations of war in common with men.

2dly. In preventing the destruction of captives taken in battle, in cold blood.

3dly. In protecting the peaceable hufbandman from tharing in the carnage of war.

4thly. In producing an exchange of prisoners, instead of

dooming them to perpetual flavery.

5thly. In avoiding the invation or destruction, in certain cases, of private property.

6thly. In declaring all wars to be unlawful but fuch as

are purely defensive.

This is the only tenure by which war now holds its place among christians. It requires but little ingentity to prove that a defensive war cannot be carried on successfully without offensive operations. If this be true, then this last degree of it, upon our author's principles, must be contrary to the spirit of the gospel. Already the princes and nations of the world discover the struggles of opinion or conscience in

their preparations for war. Witness, the many national disputes which have been lately terminated in Europe by negociation, or mediation. Witness, too, the establishment of the constitution of the united states without force or bloodshed. These events indicate an improving state of human affairs. They lead us to look forward with expectation to the time, when the weapons of war shall be changed into implements of husbandry, and when rapine and violence shall be no more. These events are the promised fruits of the gospel. If they do not come to pass, the prophets have deceived us. But if they do—war must be as contrary to the spirit of the gospel, as fraud, or murder, or any other of the vices which are reproved or extirpated by it\*.

I cannot take leave of this subject without remarking that capital punishments are the natural offspring of monarchical governments. Kings believe that they possess their crowns by a divine right: no wonder, therefore, they affume the divine power of taking away human life. Kings consider their subjects as their property : no wonder, therefore, they shed their blood with as little emotion as men shed the blood of their sheep or cattle. But the principles of republican governments speak a very different language. They teach us the absurdity of the divine origin of kingly power. They approximate the extreme ranks of men to each other. They restore man to his God-to societyand to himself. They revive and establish the relations of fellow-citizen, friend, and brother. They appreciate human life, and increase public and private obligations to preserve it. They consider human sacrifices as no less offensive to the sovereignty of the people, than they are to the majesty of heaven. They view the attributes of govern. ment, like the attributes of the deity, as infinitely more honoured by destroying evil by means of merciful than by exterminating punishments. The united states have adopted these peaceful and benevolent forms of government. It becomes them therefore to adopt their mild and benevolent prin crifi that the the

<sup>\*</sup> The spirit of christianity which our author describey as a vulgar desstical species of humanity, has sound its was into schools and families, and has abolished, in both, corporal and ignominious punishments. In the instructions to the masters and mistresses of the sundry schools, I observe with great pleasure a direction "to use corporal punshments as seldom as possible."

principles. An execution in a republic is like a human facrifice in religion. It is an offering to monarchy, and to that malignant being, who has been stilled a murderer from the beginning, and who delights equally in murder, whether it be perpetrated by the cold, but vindictive arm of the law, or by the angry hand of private revenge.

THE END.

pinciples. An excelentina republic is like a horson the ciffee in religion. It is an effection to monarchy, and to that malignant being who his been filled a mondress hose the beginning and who deligious tabelly in marker, whose there is be proposed by the cold, the visalities arms of the law, or by the angry hand or private to.

E H B H T